

1 KATHY BAZOIAN PHELPS (State Bar No. 155564)
2 *kphelps@diamondmccarthy.com*
3 DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP
4 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1100
5 Los Angeles, California 90067-4402
6 Telephone: (310) 651-2997

7 *Successor Receiver*

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
12 COMMISSION,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 JOHN V. BIVONA; SADDLE RIVER
16 ADVISORS, LLC; SRA
17 MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES,
18 LLC; FRANK GREGORY
19 MAZZOLA,

20 Defendants, and

21 SRA I LLC; SRA II LLC; SRA III
22 LLC; FELIX INVESTMENTS, LLC;
23 MICHELE J. MAZZOLA; ANNE
24 BIVONA; CLEAR SAILING GROUP
25 IV LLC; CLEAR SAILING GROUP V
26 LLC,

27 Relief Defendants.
28

Case No. 3:16-cv-01386-EMC

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Hearing Date: October 10, 2019

Time: 10:30 a.m.

Location: Courtroom 5, 17th Floor
450 Golden Gate Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94102

1 Kathy Bazoian Phelps, the successor receiver herein (the “Receiver”), Plaintiff Securities
2 and Exchange Commission (the “SEC” or “Commission”) and interested parties the SRA Investor
3 Group (“Investor Group”), and Progresso Ventures, LLC (“Progresso”) jointly submit this Status
4 Report in anticipation of the Case Management Conference on October 10, 2019.

5 **I. Status of Distribution Plan**

6 On June 27, 2019, the Court heard and considered the Receiver’s comments to the pending
7 competing distribution plans filed by the SEC and the Investor Group, as well as the Receiver’s
8 proposed plan of distribution. At the hearing, the Investor Group requested, and the Court and
9 Receiver agreed, that the Receiver would obtain a tax opinion regarding certain aspects of the
10 Receiver’s proposed tax treatment of the plan.

11 The Receiver and the SEC agree and approve of Miller Kaplan as a proposed tax advisor.
12 The SEC also strongly recommended that the Receiver engage securities counsel to advise her with
13 respect to securities regulations and complexities arising from the proposed distribution of
14 securities to investors under the terms of the plan.

15 The Receiver filed her Motion to (1) Employ Miller Kaplan as Tax Advisors; (2) Employ
16 Schinner & Shain as Securities Counsel; and (3) for Instructions (the “Motion”), which is set for
17 hearing on October 10, 2019 at 10:30 a.m. The Investor Group filed a Response to the Motion and
18 the Receiver has filed a Reply addressing the issues raised in the Response. The SEC’s counsel has
19 informed the Receiver that the SEC supports the Motion.

20 The parties hope to obtain a ruling from the Court and instructions on how the Receiver
21 should proceed regarding the tax consequences of any distribution plan that is ultimately approved.

22 Additional Comments of the Investor Group:

23 While the Investor Group did ask that the Receiver obtain tax advice with respect to any
24 proposed tax treatment of the plan,¹ the Investor Group did not agree to and has not approved of
25 _____

26 ¹ The Investor Group wishes to remind the Court that the Receiver’s proposed plan of distribution
27 must follow, as closely as practicable, the distribution plan put forward by the Investor Group. *See*
28 *Order Re Proposed Distribution Plans* (Dkt. No. 443) at 10 (“Accordingly, the Court determines
that the receivership assets shall be distributed in accordance with Sections E (“Use of cash funds
currently held by the Receivership Estate”), F (“Calculation of accrued management fees, back-end
fees and share surpluses upon the occurrence of a liquidity event”), and G (“Payment of eligible
claims and other administrative expenses upon the occurrence of liquidity events”) of the Investor

1 Miller Kaplan as the proposed tax advisor. The Investor Group respectfully requests that any tax
2 advisor to be retained by the Receiver for the purpose of providing her advice regarding the tax
3 treatment of the proposed distribution plan consider any and all appropriate avenues of tax
4 treatment that (1) adhere as closely as possible to the investment goals of investors and (2) will not
5 unduly harm investor interests.

6 Responsive Comments of Receiver:

7 Following the hearing on February 28, 2019 and the Receiver's appointment, the Receiver
8 filed Comments (Dkt No. 488) to the Investor Group's proposed plan identified in Footnote 1
9 hereof. Those Comments identified a number of issues that made the Investor Group's plan not
10 feasible and also highlighted the absence of any consideration to tax consequences of the plan that
11 the Investor Group proposed. The Receiver met and conferred with all parties to address the issues
12 with the Investor Group's plan so that implementation of the plan could be feasible. As a result, the
13 Receiver proposed a plan (Dkt No. 487) that all parties, including the Investor Group, largely
14 supported, with the understanding that a tax opinion needed to be obtained regarding the Receiver's
15 proposed tax treatment. The Receiver filed the Motion set for hearing concurrently herewith to
16 bring to the Court's attention the complexities of the tax issues so that the Court can address the
17 costs, risks, delays and other considerations in the Court's ongoing efforts to determine what type
18 of plan is feasible, reasonable and fair in this case. No such determination has yet been made.

19 **II. Status of Settlement Discussions with Equity Acquisition Corporation and Ben**
20 **Sabrin**

21 Since the Receiver's appointment on February 28, 2019, she has been in discussions with
22 EAC regarding resolution of all outstanding issues as between EAC and the estate. The Receiver
23 has recently reached a tentative settlement structure with EAC and the parties are in the process of
24 resolving certain share exchange numbers and documenting the settlement, which shall be subject
25 to Court approval.

26 The Receiver initially communicated with Ben Sabrin regarding shares of MongoDB that

27 _____
(continued)

28 Plan.”)

1 are owed to the estate following her appointment and they discussed resolution of the claim against
2 him. Mr. Sabrin has ceased communicating with the Receiver, and the Receiver is in the process of
3 preparing a complaint to be filed against Mr. Sabrin.

4 **III. Claims Administration**

5 The second and final claims bar date in this case was May 14, 2019. The Receiver has not
6 received any late filed claims after that date.

7 The Receiver filed Omnibus objections to claims which were approved by the Court on
8 June 27, 2019. The Receiver also settled disputes with two unsecured creditors, Progresso Ventures
9 LLC and Pradeep Sindhu, pursuant to stipulations that were approved by the Court.

10 The Receiver is in discussions with Fortuna Funds to try to consensually resolve disputed
11 issues relating to Fortuna's claim.

12 There may remain a few lingering objections to claims relating to guaranty claims, but
13 otherwise the claims administration has largely been completed.

14 **IV. John Bivona Bankruptcy Proceeding**

15 The former receiver had filed a proof of claim in the bankruptcy case of John Bivona and
16 had entered into a stipulation with Bivona to extend the deadline to object to Bivona's discharge.
17 The Receiver has amended the proof of claim to reflect that she is the successor receiver, and she
18 has also stipulated to further extend the denial of discharge deadline, which is currently extended
19 through October 28, 2019. In the meantime, the Trustee in the Bivona bankruptcy case recently
20 filed a complaint objecting to Bivona's discharge under section 727 of the Bankruptcy Code.
21 Because the bankruptcy trustee has now filed a 727 complaint and is prosecuting denial of
22 discharge claims against Bivona, the Receiver believes that any additional complaint she might file
23 will be duplicative and an unnecessary expenditure of receivership estate resources. Accordingly,
24 the Receiver believes that it is appropriate and most cost-effective for the estate to rely on the
25 Bivona Trustee's efforts to deny the debtor's discharge and to decline to enter into additional
26 extensions of the discharge deadline. The Receiver raises this issue for discussion at the status
27 conference to the extent that any party or the Court disagrees with her intention not to further
28 extend the deadline.

1 DATED: October 2, 2019

By: /s/ Kathy Bazoian Phelps

Kathy Bazoian Phelps
Successor Receiver

2

3

4 DATED: October 2, 2019

By: /s/ John S. Yun

John S. Yun
Mark Katz
Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange
Commission

5

6

7

8 DATED: October 2, 2019

By: /s/ Jonathan K. Levine

Jonathan K. Levine
Elizabeth Pritker
Pritzker Levine LLP
Attorneys for the SRA Funds Investor Group

9

10

11

DATED: October 2, 2019

By: /s/ Avi Israeli

Avi Israeli (pro hac vice)
Holwell Shuster & Goldberg LLP

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURES

I, Kathy Bazoian Phelps, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Status Report. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that John S. Yun, Jonathan K. Levine, and Avi Israeli have each concurred in this filing.

Dated: October 3, 2019

/s/ Kathy Bazoian Phelps
KATHY BAZOIAN PHELPS