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I, Kathy Bazoian Phelps, declare:

1. Pursuant to this Court's Revised Order Appointing Receiver, entered February 28, 2019, I was appointed as the successor receiver ("Receiver") in this case. I am also an attorney duly licensed to practice in the State of California and am a partner at the firm of Raines Feldman Littrell LLP. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth below and if called as a witness, I would and could testify competently to the matters stated herein.
2. This declaration is made in support of the Motion for an Order Authorizing Modification of the Distribution Plan (the "Motion").
3. I have consulted with counsel for the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), members of the Investor Advisory Committee (the "IAC"), and counsel for Progresso Ventures LLC. Except as set forth in the Motion, they have not expressed any opposition to the Motion.
4. By January 2021, I distributed $\$ 7,721,752.95$ to Class 3 Claimants, with an additional $\$ 1,930,438.28$ remaining on those claims.
5. In 2020 and 2021, I sold or distributed all the shares in Airbnb, Inc. ("Airbnb"), Bloom Energy Corp. ("Bloom"); Cloudera, Inc. ("Cloudera"); Dropbox, Inc. ("Dropbox"); Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft"); MongoDB, Inc. ("MongoDB"); Palantir, Inc. ("Palantir"); Pinterest, Inc. ("Pinterest"); Snap, Inc. ("Snap"); and Uber, Inc. ("Uber") (collectively, the "Publicly Traded Securities"). I also sold all shares in Evernote Corporation ("Evernote").
6. I have largely completed implementation of the Distribution Plan (the "Plan," Dkt. No. 570-1) that was approved by this Court on May 25, 2020 (Dkt. No. 613), distributing over $\$ 83$ million in stock and nearly $\$ 8$ million in cash to investors. I have also filed tax returns for 2020 and 2021, the years in which the bulk of the estate's tax liability with respect to the distribution was incurred.
7. The completion of the distribution of Publicly Traded Securities, made possible in part by Palantir and Airbnb becoming Successful Investments after the Plan was approved, along with the filing of the 2020 and 2021 tax returns, has removed much of the uncertainty that existed when the Plan was approved at a time when the bulk of the estate's value was locked into pre-Initial Public Offering ("IPO") securities. The precise tax liability from each Publicly Traded Security is
now known and can be calculated. The financial impact to investors of the distributions to Class 4 investors is now much more certain.
8. Attached as Exhibit " 1 " is a true and correct summary of the estate's sale and distribution of the Publicly Traded Securities, as well as the tax impact in 2020 and 2021 of such sale and distribution.
9. The cash reserves in the estate as of May 31, 2023 total over $\$ 24$ million, across all accounts:

## CASH RESERVES OF THE ESTATE

| Account | Cash Reserves |
| :--- | ---: |
| WF Checking | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Anne Bivona Funds | $\$ 439,797.80$ |
| Plan Fund | $\$ 5,152,224.64$ |
| Tax Holding | $\$ 13,432,846.78$ |
| Palantir Reserve | $\$ 410,797.82$ |
| Mongo Reserve ${ }^{1}$ | $\$ 120,687.70$ |
| Airbnb Reserve | $\$ 98,144.70$ |
| Evernote Reserve | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 2 3 5 , 9 3 7 . 1 2}$ |
| Total Cash Reserves |  |

10. For the 2021 return, the estate has received a total refund of $\$ 306,200$ in cash, of which $\$ 234,893$ came from the IRS and $\$ 71,307$ came from the California Franchise Tax Board ("FTB"). ${ }^{2}$ Those funds were deposited in the Tax Holding Account, and the total cash reserves after that refund are $\$ 24,235,937.12$, with interest continuing to accrue. For the 2020 return, there was no cash outlay as the loss carryforwards were applied.
11. The estate incurred enormous amounts of tax liabilities with respect to the Class 4 distributions largely due to the success of Palantir, over $\$ 32$ million, somewhat offset by the tax benefits from two of the Failed Investments and other operating losses. The total tax liabilities for each Class 4 security incurred in 2020 and 2021 are as follows:
[^0]| TAX LIABILITIES AND BENEFITS OF EACH CLASS 4 SECURITY |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Class 4 Reserve | Total Tax Liability <br> or Benefit from <br> 2020 | Total Tax Liability <br> or Benefit from <br> 2021 | Total Tax Liability <br> or Benefit from <br> 2020-2021 |  |
| Airbnb Class 4B | $\$ 0.00$ | $(\$ 904,835.59)$ | $(\$ 904,835.59)$ |  |
| Bloom Class 4C | $\$ 464,642.82$ | $(\$ 54,775.92)$ | $\$ 409,866.90$ |  |
| Cloudera Class | $\$ 121,286.04$ | $\$ 1,846.23$ | $\$ 123,132.27$ |  |
| Dropbox Class 4E | $\$ 18,997.78$ | $(\$ 35,196.64)$ | $(\$ 16,198.86)$ |  |
| Evernote Class 4F | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 297,984.40$ | $\$ 297,984.40$ |  |
| Lyft Class 4H | $\$ 63,325.52$ | $(\$ 269.89)$ | $\$ 63,055.63$ |  |
| MongoDB Class 4I | $(\$ 1,279,134.65)$ | $(\$ 536,760.15)$ | $(\$ 1,815,894.80)$ |  |
| Palantir Class 4J | $(\$ 78,396.72)$ | $(\$ 30,750,610.41)$ | $(\$ 30,829,007.13)$ |  |
| Pinterest Class 4K | $(\$ 28,446.87)$ | $(\$ 36,548.64)$ | $(\$ 64,995.51)$ |  |
| Snapchat Class 4M | $\$ 78,979.91$ | $(\$ 178,267.46)$ | $(\$ 99,287.55)$ |  |
| Uber Class 4O | $\$ 530.84$ | $(\$ 42.14)$ | $\$ 488.70$ |  |
| Total | $(\$ 638,215.33)$ | $(\$ 32,197,476.21)$ | $(\$ 32,835,691.54)$ |  |

12. I propose that the total Reserve for each Class 4 subclass be calculated as follows: the total cash from the stock sales and settlements for each security, less the contribution to the Plan Fund, plus the total tax benefit (if any) from the disposition of that security, less the total tax liability (if any) from the disposition of that security.
13. Each Class 4 subclass is responsible for the taxes arising from the disposition of the associated security. As such, shares of each security were sold to pay for the tax burden associated with the disposition of that security, leaving fewer shares to distribute to that subclass. I believe that, under the same logic, to the extent that the disposition of a security provided a quantifiable tax benefit to the estate, the associated subclass should receive that benefit from the Tax Holding Account into its Class 4 Reserve. Now that the 2021 tax return has been filed, I propose to use the numbers from the actual returns, combined with the actual results of the stock sales, to fix the Class 4 Reserve, the funds of which will be available in the first instance to the IRS or the FTB if required by any future but unanticipated tax assessment, which would be a Class 2 Claim.
14. For four of the Class 4 securities, I have already created a separate reserve and made contributions to it based on expected reserves and settlement payments. It is my intent in this Motion to seek authority to create similar reserves for the remaining seven Class 4 securities. The total Class 4 Reserve for each Publicly Traded Security will begin with the cash the estate received from the sales of that security's stock (and in the case of MongoDB, the Sabrin Settlement as well (see Status Report for First Quarter 2023, Dkt. No. 700, at 4-5)), less the contribution to the Plan Fund. If the
total tax impact from the disposition of that security was a cost to the estate, that cost will be deducted from the reserve. If the total tax impact from the disposition of that security was a benefit to the estate, that benefit will be added to the reserve (from the Tax Holding Account).
15. The proceeds from the sale of the Publicly Traded Securities, along with certain tax benefits, are currently in the Tax Holding Account, to the extent not already contributed to the separate security reserves. Therefore, for each of the Publicly Traded Securities, I propose to make the following contributions to or from the Tax Holding Account:

| CLASS 4 RESERVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Class 4 Reserve | $\begin{array}{l}\text { A. Cash from } \\ \text { Stock Sales and } \\ \text { Settlements } \\ \text { (after Plan Fund } \\ \text { contribution) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { B. Tax Liability } \\ \text { or Benefit from } \\ \text { 2020-2021 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { C. Total } \\ \text { Reserve } \\ (\boldsymbol{C}=\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{B})\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { D. Amount } \\ \text { Already } \\ \text { Contributed }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { E. Remaining } \\ \text { Contribution }\end{array}$ |  |
| $(\boldsymbol{E}=\boldsymbol{C}$ - $\boldsymbol{D})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

16. The balance that will remain in the Tax Holding Account following the movement of funds into the segregated Class 4 Reserve accounts will be $\$ 749,055.25$.
17. Attached as Exhibit " 2 " is a true and correct summary of the proposed transfers I intend to make.
18. The Remaining Contribution for MongoDB is negative because the proceeds from the Sabrin Settlement have already been contributed to the MongoDB Reserve, but the distribution of MongoDB shares in 2021 incurred a substantial amount of taxes for the estate. My earlier motion to distribute the second round MongoDB shares in 2021 contemplated that the MongoDB reserve would be used to pay those taxes, and the proposed transfer of $\$ 252,937.68$ from the MongoDB reserve to

[^1] Settlement already received by the estate.
the Tax Holding Account accomplishes that payment.
19. I propose that each Class 4 Reserve be held until the IRS audit period has passed and the final tax liabilities of the estate may be ascertained. If those Class 4 Reserves are not needed to satisfy any additional as-yet unassessed taxes, then I expect that the Class 4 Reserves will be paid as cash to Class 4 claimants, subject to any equitable modification I may propose based on the facts and circumstances at that time.
20. For Evernote, the gains from the proceeds from the sale were $\$ 96,108$, which has been placed in a separate Evernote Reserve. The transfer and legal fees associated with that sale were $\$ 2,838.35$ and initially paid by the Plan Fund, and therefore that amount should be transferred from the Evernote Reserve to the Plan Fund. The loss associated with the Evernote sale was $\$ 700,000$, resulting in a tax benefit of $\$ 297,984.40$ to the estate, which should be transferred from the Tax Holding Account to the Evernote Reserve, as reflected in Exhibit " 2 ."
21. Based on the specific facts and circumstances of the Evernote transaction, I believe that allocating the net proceeds and tax benefits to the Evernote claimants, provided such funds are not needed to satisfy any as yet unassessed taxes, without either a Plan Fund contribution or deficiency claim that would be funded by the Plan Fund, is the most fair and equitable way to process and satisfy Class 4F Evernote claims. Evernote was a pre-IPO investment that had not yet become a Failed Investment and therefore still had value to the estate both in the liquidation value of the security and in the tax benefit to the estate that could be achieved by offsetting other gains. But even though Evernote was not a Failed Investment, it also was not a Successful Investment, which meant that it did not have the liquidity event (i.e., an IPO) that would have made the shares freely tradeable, with an increase in value such that a contribution could be made to the Plan Fund with substantial value remaining for Class 4 F Claimants.
22. If Evernote were characterized as a Successful Investment under the Plan, then nearly the entire sale proceeds and tax benefit would be allocated to the Plan Fund as its $30 \%$ contribution. The total gross investment in Evernote was $\$ 1,179,964$, so the Plan Fund contribution if Evernote were a Successful Investment would be $\$ 353,989.20$, leaving less than $\$ 40,000$ to be distributed to Evernote claimants, even if none of the reserve is needed for additional taxes. However, under that methodology, each Evernote claimant would then have a near-total loss that would be included in
that claimant's deficiency claim, funded by the excess funds in the Plan Fund, with the amount to each claimant depending on how the Court resolves the deficiency question set forth in Part III.D of the Motion. I do not believe that adherence to the $30 \%$ contribution methodology is workable with respect to Evernote as that would result in a near total loss for those investors.
23. Attached as Exhibit " 7 " is a true and correct summary of the above Evernote claim analysis.
24. The average investment price for Evernote was over $\$ 13$ per share, the estate's basis was $\$ 8$ per share, and the sale price was only $\$ 1$ per share, resulting in a tax loss of $\$ 7$ per share, and an actual loss for investors of $\$ 12$ per share. As Evernote is still a private company, Evernote retained its right of first refusal to preempt the buyer and purchase the shares the estate sold at $\$ 1$ per share. Evernote declined to do so. Evernote is much more akin to a Failed Investment for which I was able to recover some amount of value. In consultation with the SEC and the IAC, I believe that it would be equitable to allow Class 4F Claimants to receive the value of the sale proceeds plus the tax benefit from the loss (proposed to be held in the Evernote Class 4F Reserve) pro rata based on each claimant's percentage of allowed Evernote shares, provided that any such reserves are not needed for additional as yet unassessed taxes.
25. Because Evernote is akin to a Failed Investment, I propose (i) that no contribution need be made to the Plan Fund, and (ii) that the remaining Evernote losses are not characterized as Class 5 Deficiency Claims that would be paid from the excess in the Plan Fund. Therefore, I propose that the Evernote Class 4F Reserve be held until the audit period passes, and after that date I will make a specific distribution motion that will include distributing any remainder of the Evernote Class 4F Reserve to Class 4F Claimants.
26. I will liquidate any remaining non-public shares held by the estate only after consultation with the SEC and the IAC and approval from this Court. The Plan currently contemplates two types of investments: Successful Investments for which there is a liquidity event such as an IPO (Plan, at 18-19), and Failed Investments for which claims have been disallowed (Plan, at 9). I propose to create a third category of investment under the Plan, "Pending Investment," for any of the remaining pre-IPO investments that would become similar in characteristic to Evernote after disposition, where it is more like a Failed Investment, but not a Successful Investment. Such

Pending Investment would then be treated in the same manner as Evernote under the Plan.
27. If the estate disposes of its positions in Addepar, Inc. ("Addepar"), Lookout, Inc. ("Lookout"), or ZocDoc, Inc. ("ZocDoc") before an IPO, I will propose at that time a distribution methodology after consultation with the SEC and the IAC, depending on the particular facts and circumstances of such disposition. In the meantime, the Addepar, Lookout, and ZocDoc securities will be held by the estate pending a liquidity event or other disposition as may be approved by the Court. This Motion does not propose any disposition of these three securities at this time.
28. The estate realized nearly $\$ 7$ million in losses with respect to Candi Controls in 2018 and Practice Fusion in 2019. Both of those losses were carried forward to 2020, where they were applied (along with operating losses) to reduce the estate's 2020 tax burden to zero, and they were further carried forward to 2021 to further reduce the estate's tax burden by being netted against the substantial gains the estate realized in its disposition of the Publicly Traded Securities.

| FAILED INVESTMENTS TAX BENEFITS |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Security | Loss | Date of Loss | Benefit to Estate |
| Candi Controls | $(\$ 4,285,560.00)$ | $5 / 3 / 2018$ | $\$ 1,824,328.61$ |
| Practice Fusion | $(\$ 2,552,000.00)$ | $4 / 17 / 2019$ | $\$ 1,086,365.98$ |
| Total | $(\$ 6,837,560.00)$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 9 1 0 , 6 9 4 . 5 9}$ |

29. I have determined that the tax benefit to the estate from the loss in Candi Controls was $\$ 1,824,328.61$, and the tax benefit to the estate from the loss in Practice Fusion was $\$ 1,086,365.98$. Those benefits were fully realized by 2021 , as the estate had substantial tax liabilities which were offset by the loss carryforward of Candi Control and Practice Fusion. Because these tax benefits relate to specific investments, I propose that the foregoing amounts be placed into a new Candi Controls Reserve and Practice Fusion Reserve. I further propose that two new subclasses of Class 6 Claimants be created - Class 6A for Practice Fusion and Class 6B for Candi Controls - and that their recovery be limited to the amount remaining in the applicable reserves after the audit period from the 2021 tax return has passed. Once I am certain that such amounts are not necessary to satisfy any future tax assessments, I will consult with the SEC and the IAC and then propose to this Court a specific plan of distribution for Class 6A and Class 6B Claimants.
30. Attached as Exhibits " 4 " and " 5 " are true and correct summaries displaying lists of the Class 6A and 6B Claimants, respectively, anonymized by investor ID, showing each claimant's
total investment and share of the pro rata distribution to each investor based on the current proposed contribution amount. These numbers have not yet been validated by the SEC and actual distributions will vary.
31. For Practice Fusion, there are more Allowed Shares than shares that were owned by the estate, and I therefore propose that the entire tax benefit be transferred to the Class 6A Practice Fusion Reserve as reflected in Exhibit "2."
32. For Candi Controls, there are $1,999,685$ shares claimed by investors, but there were $2,267,492$ shares in the estate, an excess of 267,807 shares. Pursuant to the Plan, excess shares are to be placed into the Plan Fund. I therefore propose to place the pro rata portion of the cash distribution attributable to those shares $(\$ 215,466.23)$ into the Plan Fund, and the balance into the Class 6B Candi Controls Reserve.
33. For the amounts held in the Practice Fusion and Candi Control Reserves, I believe that-as with the Class 3 and 4 Reserves-any distribution should not occur until the closure of the IRS audit period.
34. In the Motion, I am requesting instructions from the Court on how Class 5 Investor Deficiency Claims should be treated. ${ }^{4}$ Given the substantial gains that many investors have received from the stock distributions, the question arises as to whether (1) an investor's Class 5 Deficiency Claim in Successful Investments where a loss was suffered in one security should be offset by the gains received by that investor in another security; or alternatively, (2) the investments should be kept separate, leading to retention of the gain in one security and the allowance of a Deficiency Claim in another security.
35. I believe that the proper way to calculate Class 5 Deficiency Claims is the difference between the value contributed by an investor (by investor ID) to the Receivership Entities with respect to their Successful Investments, less the value received by that investor from the Receivership Estate for those Successful Investments.

[^2]36. Attached as Exhibit " 3 " is a true and correct summary of the current status of the Class 5 Deficiency Claims, based on the distributions to date and the current level of reserves, provided with the express disclaimer that these numbers are not final, as the final deficiency claim numbers will be calculated when the Class 4 distributions are complete and all applicable tax audit periods have passed.
37. The amount at issue for distribution to Class 5 Claimants will be any amount in excess in the Plan Fund following payment in full to Class 1, 2, 3 and 4 Claimants under the terms of the Plan. That surplus amount, as presently estimated to flow to Class 5 Claims, is approximately $\$ 2,770,000$. The Class 5 Claims total either $\$ 2,673,227.43$ if Option 1 is used (leaving a $\$ 95,131.80$ surplus beyond Class 5) or $\$ 5,308,488.43$ if Option 2 is used (leaving no surplus and providing for a $52 \%$ distribution to Class 5 Claimants).
38. Attached as Exhibit " 6 " is a true and correct summary of Plan Fund projections (subject to change) demonstrating that if my recommendations set forth in the Motion are adopted, there will be sufficient funds to pay Class 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 Claims in full, leaving a surplus of likely over $\$ 95,000$. If, however, the Receiver's recommendation regarding calculation of the Class 5 Deficiency Claims of Class 4 investors is not adopted, there will not be sufficient funds to distribute to Class 5 Claimants in full.
39. I have calculated the difference between calculating the Class 5 Deficiency Claims using the net loss by each investor, in which gains in other SRA Successful Investments are considered (Option 1), or by disregarding those gains in other SRA Successful Investments (Option 2). The difference in method of calculation leads to different outcomes:
(1) If an investor's total gains are used to offset total losses before any deficiency is calculated, the total deficiency will be approximately $\$ 1$ million, and (barring any significant adverse tax decision) there will likely be sufficient reserves in the Plan Fund to satisfy $100 \%$ of all currently approved Class 3 Cash Claims and Class 5 Subordinated Claims.
(2) If the investor's gains are not used to offset losses, the total deficiency will be approximately $\$ 3.6$ million, and (again, barring any significant adverse tax decision), there will likely not be sufficient reserves in the Plan Fund to satisfy all currently
approved Class 3 Cash Claims and Class 5 Subordinated Claims, and distribution on the Deficiency Claims will be likely be in the $25 \%-65 \%$ range, although even that is not certain.
40. I see two possible options for how to calculate Deficiency Claims, with substantially different impacts on a future Class 5 distribution:

Option 1 (net total losses against total gains). For each Successful Investment, calculate the total deficiency or gain based on the total value distributed to the investor with respect to such investment, less the total gross investment by that investor. Aggregate the total gains and losses, with the net losses (if any) being the investor's Deficiency Claim.

Option 2 (aggregate losses only). For each Successful Investment, calculate only the respective losses and aggregate those for the investor's Deficiency Claim, while disregarding the gains the investor received in other distributions for purposes of calculating the Deficiency Claim.
41. Because there is a wide discrepancy in the total value of the Deficiency Claims depending on which calculation method is used, I project that the percentage pro rata payout for Class 5 will be much higher if Option 1 is used. Based solely on the Successful Investments to date, and assuming that the Reserves will be fully distributed to investors, the total Deficiency Claims under Option 1 will be approximately $\$ 1$ million, and under Option 2 will be approximately $\$ 3.6$ million. There are additional Class 5 approved Subordinated Claims for $\$ 1.7$ million as well.
42. The difference between the two methods can be seen in the following chart where I have calculated the total expected cash flows under either option to determine the approximate pro rata percentage that would be paid to Class 5, based on current circumstances:

| CLASS 5 PROJECTED WATERFALLS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Option 1 Gains Included | Option 2 Gains Disregarded |
| Plan Fund Value ${ }^{5}$ | \$5.4 million | \$5.4 million |
| Class 1 Claims | \$0.8 million | \$0.8 million |
| Class 2 Claims ${ }^{6}$ | - |  |
| Class 3 Claims | \$1.9 million | \$1.9 million |
| Class 4/6 Claims | Not Paid from Plan Fund |  |
| Amount Available for Class 5 Claims | \$2.7 million | \$2.7 million |
| Class 5 Non-Investor Claims | \$1.7 million | \$1.7 million |
| Class 5 Investor Claims | \$1.0 million | \$3.6 million |
| Total Class 5 Claims | \$2.7 million | \$5.3 million |
| Projected Pro Rata \% | 100\% | 50\% |

43. Solely for purpose of analysis, the projections above assume that Deficiency Claims are paid $100 \%$ if calculated under Option 1, and $50 \%$ if calculated under Option 2. As a result, some Class 5 Deficiency Claimants will fare better under Option 1, and others will fare better under Option 2.
44. Applying these hypothetical pro rata distribution percentages to the entire set of investors as set forth in Exhibit 3, it is clear that Option 2 will provide additional funds to claimants who have already profited, at the expense of not making other claimants whole:

| HYPOTHETICAL DEFICIENCY CLAIM ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Claimants | Total <br> Gain/Loss |  | Deficiency Claim |  | Distribution Amount |  |
|  | Option 1 <br> Gains Included | Option 2 <br> Gains Disregarded | Option 1 (100\%) <br> Gains Included | Option 2 (50\%) <br> Gains Disregarded |  |  |
| 27 Investors with <br> net losses | $(\$ 1,020,291)$ | $(\$ 1,020,291)$ | $(\$ 1,020,291)$ | $\$ 1,020,291$ | $\$ 557,584$ |  |
| 55 Investors with <br> net gains | $\$ 19,975,880$ | $\$ 0$ | $(\$ 2,539,803)$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,269,902$ |  |
| Other Class 5 <br> Claimants | $(\$ 1,652,936)$ | $(\$ 1,652,936)$ | $(\$ 1,652,936)$ | $\$ 1,652,936$ | $\$ 826,468$ |  |
| Totals | $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 , 3 0 2 , 6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 2 , 6 7 3 , 2 2 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 5 , 3 0 8 , 4 8 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 6 7 3 , 2 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 6 5 4 , 2 4 4}$ |  |

${ }^{5}$ The estimated Plan Fund value is the value of the Plan Fund and the Tax Holding Account as of May 31, 2023, after the adjustments in Exhibit "2," including the 2021 tax refund. Contributions from Addepar, Lookout and ZocDoc are assumed to be zero solely for purposes of analysis.
All of the figures in this table are based on my good faith estimates at the time of the filing of this Motion. Actual figures may differ.
${ }^{6}$ I do not anticipate any future Class 2 Claims other than taxes generated from the future sale and distribution of securities, which will be paid from the sales proceeds of such securities. In the unlikely event there are unanticipated future Class 2 Claims, those would not necessarily be paid from the Plan Fund, and as-yet unassessed taxes may instead be paid from Class 4 Reserves, as set forth above. In the event of a future assessment, I will seek authority to pay from the appropriate source depending on the facts and circumstances of any such assessment.
45. If the Court selects Option 1, there is a likelihood that all Class 5 Claimants will be paid close to $100 \%$, but if the Court selects Option 2, there is virtually no chance that the payout will be near $100 \%$, with $50 \%$ being a reasonable projection. These numbers are projections, and the actual numbers could vary substantially.
46. Based on the foregoing, I believe that Option 1, including the gains that offset losses in the deficiency claim calculation, is more fair and equitable to all claimants. I have been advised by the SEC and counsel for Progresso that they all agree that my proposed method is more fair and equitable to all claimants. Prior to reviewing the Motion, the IAC initially expressed concern regarding Option 1 but have declined to state an opinion following review of the Motion.
47. I believe it is appropriate to continue to reserve the remaining Plan issues for future determination, including the remaining Failed Investment Claims and the Cilano Claim.
48. In the event that there is a surplus in the case following payment to the Class $1,2,3$, 4 and 5 Claimants, I reserve the right to propose establishing a new Class for the Failed Investment Claims other than the claims for Candi Controls and Practice Fusion (the "Remaining Failed Investments" ${ }^{" 7}$ ). In the Remaining Failed Investments, claimants invested a total of $\$ 11.7$ million, and the Court disallowed those claims as investors' losses were not attributable to the SRA managers' malfeasance.
49. The Failed Investment Claims will have received no distribution whatsoever, so I believe that consideration might be given to those claimants if there are available funds that could serve as a source of payment for this class of Failed Investment Claimants. If Option 1 is utilized for the calculation of the Investor Deficiency Claims, then there may be a surplus following distribution to Class 5 which could be used to pay these claims.
50. Additionally, I continue to hold over half a million dollars in the Anne Bivona Funds in the estate, which have not been allocated to any class; however, it is my understanding that the SEC asserts the right to determine the ultimate disposition of these funds.
51. I am not yet proposing that the Anne Bivona Funds and any excess in the Plan Fund

[^3]be used to provide a distribution to the Remaining Failed Investments but provide this information so that the Court can fully evaluate the consequences of selecting Option 1 or 2 for calculation of the Deficiency Claims and possible options as to how to distribute any surplus funds. If Option 1 is selected and surplus funds were paid to the Remaining Failed Investments, I estimate that the Remaining Failed Investment Claimants would have at least a 5\% distribution depending on the amount of any such surplus, which is unknown at this time.
52. The outcome of this Motion will determine whether or not there will likely be a surplus of funds available beyond payment to the existing five classes of claimants. If Option 1 for calculation of the Deficiency Claims is selected and there are surplus funds available for distribution, it is conceivable that some funds might be available for distribution to Joshua Cilano ("Cilano") (and/or the Failed Investment Claimants).
53. Cilano has asserted a claim against the estate (the "Cilano Claim"), and the SEC has objected to that claim (Dkt. No. 572). The crux of the Cilano Claim is that Cilano contends he is entitled to backend fees in the amount of " $10 \%$ of any profits Mr. Cilano's clients made in SRA investments." (Cilano Objection Opp., Dkt. No. 590, at 1.) That 10\% performance fee was calculated, however, as $50 \%$ of the backend fees earned by SRA (i.e., $50 \%$ of a $20 \%$ performance fee is equal to $10 \%$ ), but the receivership was created before any such fees were actually earned. I am advised that there are 59 investors who were clients of Cilano (the "Cilano Clients") and whom Cilano contends owe him $10 \%$ of the gains on their investments.
54. I do not believe that the nature of Cilano's claim warrants it being paid from general funds in any class, as his claim was specific to be paid a portion of the fees associated with his clients' profits. No such fees were assessed as SRA was placed into receivership, and those clients are now claimants in this receivership. Based on Cilano's representation that his clients support him being paid some sort of performance fee, and based on the fact that most of those clients are expected to receive a distribution from the Class 4 Reserves (especially Palantir), I believe that Cilano should only be paid from his clients' distributions, and then only if those clients opt in to using part of their distributions to pay Cilano.
55. As an alternative to an adversarial proceeding between the SEC and Cilano regarding the Cilano Claim, I proposed a framework to the SEC and Cilano to resolve the Cilano Claim via a
compromise consistent with Cilano's representations about his clients supporting payment of fees to him. My proposal also would not deplete the funds available for distribution under the Plan to any claimant other than the Cilano Clients who opt-in to the proposal. I note that both the SEC and Cilano have declined to accept my proposal which I suggested as a fair and cost-effective resolution to the objection to the Cilano Claim.
56. My proposal is detailed as follows. The Cilano Clients are investors entitled to distributions as Class 4 and 5 Claimants. I proposed that, to the extent that the Cilano Clients expressly opt in to a distribution model that pays Cilano a percentage of their net gains, ${ }^{8}$ the Cilano Claim can be paid from distributions otherwise going to the Cilano Clients. In other words, if a Cilano Client opts in, and that client is to receive a distribution from the Class 4 Reserves, I propose to pay up to $5 \%$ of the Cilano Client's total gain to Cilano, and the balance would be paid to the Cilano Client. If a Cilano Client does not opt in, nothing will be paid to Cilano on account of the claim and the Cilano Client would be paid the full distribution owing in Class 4.
57. Such a proposal is in line with Cilano's assertion that the Cilano Clients agreed to pay him, but gives those investors the option to opt in or opt out. The payment to Cilano would technically not be coming from the receivership estate, but rather the Cilano Clients would be affirmatively agreeing to pay Cilano a commission from their distributions. I would merely facilitate the process.
58. I can cost-effectively administer this process by providing written notice to the Cilano Clients of the opt in procedure and by calculating any amounts to be paid to Cilano in connection with the distributions to be made under the Plan. I proposed to provide ninety days' notice to the Cilano Clients of the opt in procedure by separate notice. I would include the calculation of the payment to be made to Cilano in connection with a motion to approve the final distributions and once the number of opt in Cilano Clients is known. Any distribution to be made on the Cilano Claim would be subject to Court approval.
59. Under this method, the Cilano Claim would not be satisfied with any portion of the Plan Fund or generally available funds - a portion of the Class 4 reserves would simply be paid to

[^4]Cilano from the Class 4 distributions to be paid to his clients for those clients who choose to opt into this proposal.
60. Neither the SEC nor Cilano have agreed to this proposal. It is my understanding that the SEC objects to any distribution being paid to Cilano, as has been its view from the outset of this litigation. In response to my proposal, the SEC continues to object to Cilano receiving any more money from the receivership or the investors connected to this receivership. To the extent that the issues relating to the Cilano Claim become ripe as a result of this Motion, the SEC has advised me that it believes that comprehensive discovery and an evidentiary hearing, under oath, are necessary for evaluation of the Cilano Claim. Therefore, I believe it is appropriate for the Court to establish a briefing schedule so that the SEC can pursue its objection to the Cilano Claim. I believe that the briefing schedule proposed by the SEC as set forth in the Motion is reasonable.
61. I do not anticipate, nor do I think it wise, to make a distribution of cash to any claimant until the audit period for the 2021 tax return passes and the estate can be certain that no additional taxes will be assessed by the IRS. I believe it is prudent that all funds in the estate be available to pay any as yet unassessed tax claims, but I will make a motion from this Court to determine which funds should be used in the event that such as assessment occurs.
62. I do not propose to eliminate, at this stage, the possibility of a future Equitable Adjustment as stated in the Plan. Because all further distributions will be subject to further motion from this Court, I will evaluate at such time whether an Equitable Distribution might be warranted after consulting with the SEC and the IAC, and if so, will make a proposal to the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this $27^{\text {th }}$ day of July 2023 at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Kathy Bazoian Phelps<br>Kathy Bazoian Phelps<br>Successor Receiver

EXHIBIT 1: SUMMARY OF DISTRIBUTION AND CLASS 4 RESERVES FOR PUBLICLY TRADED SECURITIES



| Class 4 Reserves After 2020 and 2021 Actual Tax Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Contribution to Tax Holding Account Sabrin Settlement (Paid to Date) | \$ | 274,667.38 | \$ | 5,038.91 | \$ | 129,166.53 | \$ | 5,901.28 | \$ | 1,562,957.12 | \$ | 68,469.82 | \$ | 372,456.31 | \$ | (138.70) | \$ | 44,131,541.89 | \$ | 1,023,009.09 | \$ | 47,573,069.63 |
|  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 402,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 402,000.00 |
| Total Cash from Stock Sales and Settlements | \$ | 274,667.38 | \$ | 5,038.91 | \$ | 129,166.53 | \$ | 5,901.28 | \$ | 1,964,957.12 | \$ | 68,469.82 | \$ | 372,456.31 | \$ | (138.70) | \$ | 44,131,541.89 | \$ | 1,023,009.09 | \$ | 47,975,069.63 |
| Tax Liability / Benefit from 2020 (actual)* Tax Liability / Benefit from 2021 (actual) | \$ | 464,642.82 | \$ | 121,286.04 | \$ | 18,997.78 | \$ | 63,325.52 | \$ | (1,279,134.65) | \$ | $(28,446.87)$ | \$ | 78,979.91 | \$ | 530.84 | \$ | (78,396.72) | \$ |  | \$ | (638,215.33) |
|  | \$ | $(54,775.92)$ | \$ | 1,846.23 | \$ | (35,196.64) | \$ | (269.89) | \$ | $(536,760.15)$ | \$ | $(36,548.64)$ | \$ | $(178,267.46)$ | \$ | (42.14) | \$ | $(30,750,610.41)$ | \$ | (904,835.59) | \$ | (32,495,460.61) |
| Total Tax Liability / Benefit from 2020-2021 | \$ | 409,866.90 | \$ | 123,132.27 | \$ | $(16,198.86)$ | \$ | 63,055.63 | \$ | (1,815,894.80) | \$ | (64,995.51) | \$ | (99,287.55) | \$ | 488.70 | \$ | (30,829,007.13) | \$ | (904,835.59) | \$ | (33,133,675.94) |
| Total Class 4 Reserve | \$ | 684,534.28 | \$ | 128,171.18 | \$ | 112,967.67 | \$ | 68,956.91 | \$ | 149,062.32 | \$ | 3,474.31 | \$ | 273,168.76 | \$ | 350.00 | \$ | 13,302,534.76 | \$ | 118,173.50 | \$ | 14,841,393.69 |
| Contribution Already Made to Class 4 ReserveAdditional Contribution from Tax Holding Account | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 402,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | \$13,126,730.95 | \$ | 118,172.34 | \$ | 13,646,903.29 |
|  | \$ | 684,534.28 | \$ | 128,171.18 | \$ | 112,967.67 | \$ | 68,956.91 | \$ | $(252,937.68)$ | \$ | 3,474.31 | \$ | 273,168.76 | \$ | 350.00 | \$ | 175,803.81 | \$ | 1.16 | \$ | 1,194,490.40 |

*incudes tax benefits from transfer to EAC in connection with
court-approved EAC settlement

## Exhibit 2: Proposed Transfers and Cash Position of Estate

| Account or Subaccount | Value as of May 31, 2023 [1] | Proposed Adjustment | Resulting Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WF Checking | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Anne Bivona Funds | \$539,797.80 | \$0.00 | \$539,797.80 |
| Plan Fund [2, 4] | \$4,481,437.68 | \$218,304.58 | \$4,699,742.26 |
| Tax Holding Account | \$5,152,224.64 | (\$4,403,169.39) | \$749,055.25 |
| Total Class 4 Reserves | \$14,062,477.00 | \$1,489,636.45 | \$15,552,113.45 |
| Class 4B Airbnb Reserve | \$120,687.70 | \$1.16 | \$120,688.86 |
| Class 4C Bloom Energy Reserve | \$0.00 | \$684,534.28 | \$684,534.28 |
| Class 4D Cloudera Reserve | \$0.00 | \$128,171.18 | \$128,171.18 |
| Class 4E Dropbox Reserve | \$0.00 | \$112,967.67 | \$112,967.67 |
| Class 4F Evernote Reserve [2] | \$98,144.70 | \$295,146.05 | \$393,290.75 |
| Class 4H Lyft Reserve | \$0.00 | \$68,956.91 | \$68,956.91 |
| Class 4I MongoDB Reserve [3] | \$410,797.82 | (\$252,937.68) | \$157,860.14 |
| Class 4J Palantir Reserve | \$13,432,846.78 | \$175,803.81 | \$13,608,650.59 |
| Class 4K Pinterest Reserve | \$0.00 | \$3,474.31 | \$3,474.31 |
| Class 4M Snap Reserve | \$0.00 | \$273,168.76 | \$273,168.76 |
| Class 4N Uber Reserve | \$0.00 | \$350.00 | \$350.00 |
| Total Class 6 Failed Investment Reserves | \$0.00 | \$2,695,228.36 | \$2,695,228.36 |
| Class 6A Practice Fusion Reserve | \$0.00 | \$1,086,365.98 | \$1,086,365.98 |
| Class 6B Candi Controls Reserve [4] | \$0.00 | \$1,608,862.38 | \$1,608,862.38 |
| Total | \$24,235,937.12 | \$0.00 | \$24,235,937.12 |
|  |  | Total | \$24,235,937.12 |

## Notes

[1] Value of holding is as of May 31, 2023, except as provided in note 3 below, which is also the value reflected in the last cash disbursement report attached to the Receiver's Status Report for First Quarter 2023, Dkt. No. 700. The cash position of the estate will continue to change over time, as reflected in the quarterly status reports filed with the Court and available on the Receiver's website at www.raineslaw.com/saddle-river-receiver.
[2] Contribution to Evernote Reserve reduced by closing costs of disposition of Evernote shares ( $\$ 2,838.35$ ), which are being reimbursed to the Plan Fund, as set forth in the Motion.
[3] MongoDB Reserve includes an additional $\$ 25,000$ paid on October 3, 2022, which has been augmented by an additional $\$ 1,333$, which is the remaining balance of Sabrin settlement.
[4] Candi Controls tax benefit reduced by $\$ 215,466.23$ in benefit attributable to surplus shares, and therefore allocable to the Plan Fund.

## EXHIBIT 3

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total Deficiency (Gains Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution Using Option 1 Calculation | 50\% Distribution <br> Using Option 2 <br> Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | (\$1,020,291) | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency | Distributions |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 1 | \$116,354 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2 | \$142,389 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 3 | $(\$ 250,805)$ | $(\$ 250,805)$ | $(\$ 250,805)$ | \$250,805 | \$125,402 |
| 4 | \$10,667 | \$0 | $(\$ 7,833)$ | \$0 | \$3,916 |
| 5 | \$396,896 | \$0 | (\$1,060) | \$0 | \$530 |
| 6 | \$28,138 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 7 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 8 | \$896,435 | \$0 | (\$147,912) | \$0 | \$73,956 |
| 9 | \$291,762 | \$0 | $(\$ 22,680)$ | \$0 | \$11,340 |
| 10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 11 | \$39,199 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 12 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 13 | \$550 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 14 | \$17,761 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 15 | \$40,682 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 16 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 17 | \$10,095 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 18 | \$46,207 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 19 | \$89,817 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 20 | \$550 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 21 | \$8,899 | \$0 | $(\$ 39,406)$ | \$0 | \$19,703 |
| 22 | \$99,186 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 23 | \$9,061 | \$0 | $(\$ 8,415)$ | \$0 | \$4,208 |
| 24 | \$151,318 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 25 | \$363,981 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 26 | \$220 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 27 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 28 | \$46,447 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 29 | \$225,936 | \$0 | $(\$ 31,486)$ | \$0 | \$15,743 |
| 30 | \$58,993 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 31 | \$123,581 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 32 | \$123,581 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 33 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 34 | \$179 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 35 | \$69,804 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 36 | \$79,854 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 37 | \$690,272 | \$0 | (\$122,531) | \$0 | \$61,266 |
| 38 | \$247,112 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 39 | $(\$ 65,688)$ | $(\$ 65,688)$ | $(\$ 65,688)$ | \$65,688 | \$32,844 |
| 40 | \$20,769 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 41 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 42 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total Deficiency (Gains Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution Using Option 1 Calculation | 50\% Distribution Using Option 2 Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | $(\$ 1,020,291)$ | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency Distributions |  |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 43 | \$982,892 | \$0 | (\$10,975) | \$0 | \$5,487 |
| 44 | \$186,360 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 45 | \$10,213 | \$0 | (\$129,712) | \$0 | \$64,856 |
| 46 | \$1,825,268 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 47 | \$437,320 | \$0 | $(\$ 33,869)$ | \$0 | \$16,934 |
| 48 | \$90,962 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 49 | $(\$ 13,138)$ | $(\$ 13,138)$ | $(\$ 13,138)$ | \$13,138 | \$6,569 |
| 50 | (\$283) | (\$283) | $(\$ 1,087)$ | \$283 | \$544 |
| 51 | \$711,339 | \$0 | $(\$ 18,307)$ | \$0 | \$9,153 |
| 52 | \$77,611 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 53 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 54 | \$21,834 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 55 | \$246,997 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 56 | \$51,485 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 57 | \$25,885 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 58 | \$179 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 59 | \$31,639 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 60 | \$741 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 61 | \$1,925,355 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 62 | $(\$ 9,967)$ | $(\$ 9,967)$ | $(\$ 9,967)$ | \$9,967 | \$4,984 |
| 63 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 64 | \$75,891 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 65 | \$638,646 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 66 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 67 | \$741 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 68 | $(\$ 16,337)$ | $(\$ 16,337)$ | $(\$ 16,337)$ | \$16,337 | \$8,169 |
| 69 | \$133,393 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 70 | \$122,079 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 71 | \$14,314 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 72 | \$605,954 | \$0 | $(\$ 33,869)$ | \$0 | \$16,934 |
| 73 | \$390,158 | \$0 | $(\$ 45,281)$ | \$0 | \$22,641 |
| 74 | \$13,675 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 75 | \$9,821 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 76 | \$39,944 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 77 | \$28,500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 78 | \$157,882 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 79 | \$34,209 | \$0 | $(\$ 24,964)$ | \$0 | \$12,482 |
| 80 | (\$33,472) | (\$33,472) | $(\$ 33,472)$ | \$33,472 | \$16,736 |
| 81 | \$308,709 | \$0 | $(\$ 41,891)$ | \$0 | \$20,945 |
| 82 | \$48,198 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 83 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 84 | \$54,235 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total Deficiency (Gains Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution Using Option 1 Calculation | 50\% Distribution Using Option 2 Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | (\$1,020,291) | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency Distributions |  |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 85 | \$104,964 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 86 | (\$119,442) | (\$119,442) | (\$119,442) | \$119,442 | \$59,721 |
| 87 | \$21,213 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 88 | \$741 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 89 | \$188,764 | \$0 | (\$28,711) | \$0 | \$14,356 |
| 90 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 91 | \$48,568 | \$0 | (\$116,829) | \$0 | \$58,415 |
| 92 | \$46,207 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 93 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 94 | \$111,571 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 95 | \$108,169 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 96 | \$36,898 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 97 | \$64,016 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 98 | \$424,858 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 99 | \$77,614 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 100 | \$136,485 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 101 | \$684,572 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 102 | \$2,512 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 103 | \$104,183 | \$0 | $(\$ 9,149)$ | \$0 | \$4,574 |
| 104 | \$144,346 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 105 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 106 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 107 | \$44,917 | \$0 | $(\$ 18,306)$ | \$0 | \$9,153 |
| 108 | \$23,083 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 109 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 110 | \$368,988 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 111 | $(\$ 27,637)$ | $(\$ 27,637)$ | $(\$ 27,637)$ | \$27,637 | \$13,818 |
| 112 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 113 | \$96,602 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 114 | $(\$ 8,295)$ | $(\$ 8,295)$ | $(\$ 9,148)$ | \$8,295 | \$4,574 |
| 115 | \$129,263 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 116 | \$413,068 | \$0 | (\$20,390) | \$0 | \$10,195 |
| 117 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 118 | \$3,094 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 119 | $(\$ 6,024)$ | $(\$ 6,024)$ | $(\$ 33,308)$ | \$6,024 | \$16,654 |
| 120 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 121 | \$17,976 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 122 | \$179,359 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 123 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 124 | \$14,723 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 125 | \$429,264 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 126 | \$62,239 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total <br> Deficiency (Gains <br> Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution <br> Using Option 1 <br> Calculation | 50\% Distribution <br> Using Option 2 <br> Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | (\$1,020,291) | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency Distributions |  |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 127 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 128 | \$978,808 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 129 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 130 | \$47,702 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 131 | \$73,049 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 132 | \$53,618 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 133 | \$113,712 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 134 | \$119,860 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 135 | \$205,488 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 136 | \$64,998 | \$0 | $(\$ 13,540)$ | \$0 | \$6,770 |
| 137 | $(\$ 21,506)$ | $(\$ 21,506)$ | (\$24,213) | \$21,506 | \$12,107 |
| 138 | \$144,363 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 139 | \$31,371 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 140 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 141 | \$78,081 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 142 | \$39,233 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 143 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 144 | \$186,713 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 145 | \$190,744 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 146 | \$1,230,032 | \$0 | $(\$ 46,367)$ | \$0 | \$23,184 |
| 147 | \$54,159 | \$0 | $(\$ 25,178)$ | \$0 | \$12,589 |
| 148 | \$580,677 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 149 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 150 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 151 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 152 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 153 | \$80,279 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 154 | \$191,728 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 155 | \$165,915 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 156 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 157 | \$109,270 | \$0 | $(\$ 9,149)$ | \$0 | \$4,574 |
| 158 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 159 | $(\$ 47,905)$ | $(\$ 47,905)$ | (\$51,121) | \$47,905 | \$25,560 |
| 160 | \$17,434 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 161 | \$13,186 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 162 | \$636,866 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 163 | \$13,186 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 164 | \$91,669 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 165 | \$24,909 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 166 | \$136,780 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 167 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 168 | \$9,967 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total Deficiency (Gains Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution <br> Using Option 1 <br> Calculation | 50\% Distribution Using Option 2 Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | (\$1,020,291) | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency Distributions |  |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 169 | \$91,151 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 170 | \$213,495 | \$0 | (\$252,476) | \$0 | \$126,238 |
| 171 | \$155,237 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 172 | \$228,199 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 173 | \$67,409 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 174 | \$397,301 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 175 | \$41,059 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 176 | \$100,556 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 177 | \$1,694,981 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 178 | \$741 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 179 | \$24,881 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 180 | \$29,488 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 181 | \$36,759 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 182 | \$122,580 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 183 | \$49,605 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 184 | \$125,272 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 185 | \$1,308,791 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 186 | \$156,537 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 187 | \$741 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 188 | \$15,703 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 189 | \$510,891 | \$0 | $(\$ 14,205)$ | \$0 | \$7,103 |
| 190 | \$128,202 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 191 | \$29,951 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 192 | \$251,942 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 193 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 194 | $(\$ 19,586)$ | $(\$ 19,586)$ | $(\$ 19,586)$ | \$19,586 | \$9,793 |
| 195 | \$74,494 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 196 | $(\$ 13,391)$ | $(\$ 13,391)$ | $(\$ 13,391)$ | \$13,391 | \$6,695 |
| 197 | \$3,709 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 198 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 199 | \$172,689 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 200 | \$32,704 | \$0 | $(\$ 3,728)$ | \$0 | \$1,864 |
| 201 | \$85,323 | \$0 | $(\$ 11,279)$ | \$0 | \$5,640 |
| 202 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 203 | \$157,859 | \$0 | (\$11,444) | \$0 | \$5,722 |
| 204 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 205 | \$47,102 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 206 | \$26,796 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 207 | \$21,107 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 208 | \$310,744 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 209 | \$170,139 | \$0 | $(\$ 50,228)$ | \$0 | \$25,114 |
| 210\|| | \$25,885 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total Deficiency (Gains Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution Using Option 1 Calculation | 50\% Distribution Using Option 2 Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | $(\$ 1,020,291)$ | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency Distributions |  |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 211 | \$363,981 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 212 | \$160,712 | \$0 | $(\$ 45,344)$ | \$0 | \$22,672 |
| 213 | \$8,879 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 214 | \$51,514 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 215 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 216 | \$96,602 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 217 | \$911,077 | \$0 | $(\$ 9,668)$ | \$0 | \$4,834 |
| 218 | \$190,400 | \$0 | $(\$ 64,077)$ | \$0 | \$32,038 |
| 219 | \$3,695 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 220 | \$770 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 221 | \$60,341 | \$0 | $(\$ 45,705)$ | \$0 | \$22,853 |
| 222 | \$111,744 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 223 | \$12,368 | \$0 | (\$12,570) | \$0 | \$6,285 |
| 224 | $(\$ 3,957)$ | $(\$ 3,957)$ | $(\$ 4,575)$ | \$3,957 | \$2,287 |
| 225 | \$519,205 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 226 | \$365,220 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 227 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 228 | \$9,967 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 229 | \$34,163 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 230 | \$26,796 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 231 | \$158,367 | \$0 | $(\$ 62,888)$ | \$0 | \$31,444 |
| 232 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 233 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 234 | \$77,797 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 235 | \$417,114 | \$0 | $(\$ 30,056)$ | \$0 | \$15,028 |
| 236 | \$87,865 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 237 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 238 | \$139,824 | \$0 | $(\$ 33,869)$ | \$0 | \$16,934 |
| 239 | \$221,059 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 240 | \$69,323 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 241 | \$114,340 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 242 | \$394,450 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,124)$ | \$0 | \$562 |
| 243 | \$7,451 | \$0 | $(\$ 20,564)$ | \$0 | \$10,282 |
| 244 | \$183,623 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 245 | \$179,359 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 246 | (\$38,522) | $(\$ 38,522)$ | $(\$ 64,407)$ | \$38,522 | \$32,204 |
| 247 | \$5,154,883 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 248 | \$228,296 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 249 | \$52,064 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 250 | \$91,787 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 251 | \$42,561 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 252\| | \$26,616 | \$0 | (\$19,591) | \$0 | \$9,795 |

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total Deficiency (Gains Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution <br> Using Option 1 <br> Calculation | 50\% Distribution Using Option 2 Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | (\$1,020,291) | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency Distributions |  |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 253 | \$30,831 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 254 | \$261,273 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 255 | \$169,323 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 256 | \$89,965 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 257 | \$82,483 | \$0 | $(\$ 4,261)$ | \$0 | \$2,131 |
| 258 | $(\$ 16,978)$ | $(\$ 16,978)$ | $(\$ 16,978)$ | \$16,978 | \$8,489 |
| 259 | \$1,262 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 260 | $(\$ 25,859)$ | $(\$ 25,859)$ | $(\$ 25,859)$ | \$25,859 | \$12,930 |
| 261 | \$242,045 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 262 | \$143,402 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 263 | \$3,846 | \$0 | $(\$ 7,073)$ | \$0 | \$3,536 |
| 264 | \$31,997 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 265 | \$26,277 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 266 | \$112,353 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 267 | \$109,427 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 268 | \$101,989 | \$0 | $(\$ 55,502)$ | \$0 | \$27,751 |
| 269 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 270 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 271 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 272 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 273 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 274 | $(\$ 3,634)$ | $(\$ 3,634)$ | $(\$ 36,712)$ | \$3,634 | \$18,356 |
| 275 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 276 | (\$129,271) | (\$129,271) | (\$129,382) | \$129,271 | \$64,691 |
| 277 | \$289,087 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 278 | \$75 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 279 | \$43,656 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 280 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 281 | \$196,152 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 282 | \$64,944 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 283 | \$107,285 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 284 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 285 | \$500,793 | \$0 | $(\$ 31,317)$ | \$0 | \$15,659 |
| 286 | \$218,905 | \$0 | $(\$ 95,977)$ | \$0 | \$47,988 |
| 287 | \$26,796 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 288 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 289 | $(\$ 21,008)$ | $(\$ 21,008)$ | $(\$ 21,008)$ | \$21,008 | \$10,504 |
| 290 | \$71,142 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 291 | \$20,290 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 292 | \$235,831 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 293 | \$170,555 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 294 | \$31,257 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

# Exhibit 3: Hypothetical Investor Deficiency Calculation Scenarios 

Note: these are hypothetical amounts based on the distribution and current reserves for Successful Investments and are not final. The actual deficiency claims will be calculated once all securities have been sold or distributed and the final tax liabilities of the estate are determined.

|  | Total Investor Net Gains (including Tax Reserve share) | Option 1 Total Deficiency (Gains Included) | Option 2 Total Deficiency (Gains Disregarded) | 100\% Distribution Using Option 1 Calculation | 50\% Distribution Using Option 2 Calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$56,159,379 | $(\$ 1,020,291)$ | $(\$ 3,655,552)$ | \$1,020,291 | \$1,827,776 |
| Investor ID | Total Gain or Loss | Deficiency Claims |  | Deficiency Distributions |  |
|  | Value of distribution and tax reserve share, less investment | Option 1 Calculation (Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (Gains Disregarded) | Option 1 Calculation (100\%, Gains Included) | Option 2 Calculation (50\%, Gains Disregarded) |
| 295 | \$146,602 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 296 | \$743,975 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 297 | \$306,896 | \$0 | (\$2,984) | \$0 | \$1,492 |
| 298 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 299 | \$133,403 | \$0 | $(\$ 2,872)$ | \$0 | \$1,436 |
| 300 | \$21,736 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 301 | \$1,958,999 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 302 | \$14,723 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 303 | \$152,692 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 304 | \$35,252 | \$0 | (\$217,417) | \$0 | \$108,709 |
| 305 | \$145 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 306 | \$119,567 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 307 | \$37,131 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 308 | $(\$ 8,174)$ | $(\$ 8,174)$ | $(\$ 9,075)$ | \$8,174 | \$4,538 |
| 309 | \$14,723 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 310 | \$691,822 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 311 | \$382,179 | \$0 | $(\$ 34,033)$ | \$0 | \$17,016 |
| 312 | \$4,593,889 | \$0 | (\$350,668) | \$0 | \$175,334 |
| 313 | \$20,265 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 314 | $(\$ 18,571)$ | (\$18,571) | $(\$ 18,571)$ | \$18,571 | \$9,285 |
| 315 | \$224,486 | \$0 | $(\$ 9,153)$ | \$0 | \$4,577 |
| 316 | \$147,748 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 317 | \$62,239 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 318 | $(\$ 7,168)$ | $(\$ 7,168)$ | $(\$ 7,168)$ | \$7,168 | \$3,584 |
| 319 | $(\$ 66,123)$ | $(\$ 66,123)$ | $(\$ 66,123)$ | \$66,123 | \$33,062 |
| 320 | \$22,132 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 321 | \$47,338 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 322 | \$12,123 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 323 | \$99,388 | \$0 | $(\$ 18,183)$ | \$0 | \$9,091 |
| 324 | \$24,672 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 325 | $(\$ 27,550)$ | $(\$ 27,550)$ | $(\$ 27,550)$ | \$27,550 | \$13,775 |
| 326 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 327 | \$1,374,563 | \$0 | $(\$ 13,736)$ | \$0 | \$6,868 |
| 328 | \$47,775 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 329 | \$14,625 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 330 | \$74,580 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 331 | \$120,960 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 332 | \$969,026 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 333 | \$74,580 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 334 | \$25,213 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 335 | \$75,580 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |


| Investor ID | Invested Investment | Gross Investment | Net Investment | Allowed Shares | Share of Tax Benefit | Deficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$84,184 | \$82,500 | 37,500 | \$20,723 | $(\$ 63,460)$ |
| 4 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | 6,818 | \$3,768 | $(\$ 11,232)$ |
| 5 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$50,000 | \$46,000 | 11,500 | \$6,355 | $(\$ 43,645)$ |
| 5 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$50,000 | \$49,000 | 16,333 | \$9,026 | $(\$ 40,974)$ |
| 8 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$136,446 | \$136,446 | 45,482 | \$25,134 | $(\$ 111,312)$ |
| 9 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$41,843 | \$41,843 | 19,020 | \$10,511 | $(\$ 31,332)$ |
| 14 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$5,000 | \$4,900 | 1,225 | \$677 | $(\$ 4,323)$ |
| 37 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$25,000 | \$24,063 | 10,938 | \$6,045 | $(\$ 18,955)$ |
| 37 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$10,000 | \$9,400 | 4,273 | \$2,361 | $(\$ 7,639)$ |
| 37 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | 8,000 | \$4,421 | $(\$ 15,579)$ |
| 37 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$30,000 | \$30,000 | 10,000 | \$5,526 | $(\$ 24,474)$ |
| 37 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$80,000 | \$77,000 | 35,000 | \$19,342 | $(\$ 60,658)$ |
| 37 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$151,515 | \$150,000 | 50,000 | \$27,631 | $(\$ 123,884)$ |
| 37 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$100,424 | \$100,424 | 45,647 | \$25,226 | $(\$ 75,198)$ |
| 43 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$115,000 | \$115,000 | 20,000 | \$11,052 | $(\$ 103,948)$ |
| 43 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | 45,455 | \$25,119 | $(\$ 74,881)$ |
| 43 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$20,085 | \$20,085 | 9,129 | \$5,045 | $(\$ 15,040)$ |
| 46 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | 160,000 | \$88,420 | $(\$ 311,580)$ |
| 47 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 22,727 | \$12,559 | $(\$ 37,441)$ |
| 51 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$110,000 | \$110,000 | 50,000 | \$27,631 | $(\$ 82,369)$ |
| 51 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$33,475 | \$33,475 | 15,216 | \$8,409 | $(\$ 25,066)$ |
| 54 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$30,000 | \$30,000 | 10,000 | \$5,526 | $(\$ 24,474)$ |
| 66 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$99,980 | \$91,982 | 22,995 | \$12,708 | $(\$ 87,272)$ |
| 67 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$15,000 | \$14,700 | 6,682 | \$3,693 | $(\$ 11,307)$ |
| 73 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$83,686 | \$83,686 | 38,039 | \$21,021 | $(\$ 62,665)$ |
| 75 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$10,204 | \$10,204 | 3,401 | \$1,879 | $(\$ 8,325)$ |
| 77 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$15,366 | \$15,059 | 5,020 | \$2,774 | $(\$ 12,592)$ |
| 81 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$50,000 | \$49,000 | 16,333 | \$9,026 | $(\$ 40,974)$ |
| 81 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| 89 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$22,220 | \$21,998 | 9,999 | \$5,526 | $(\$ 16,694)$ |
| 91 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$33,475 | \$33,475 | 15,216 | \$8,409 | $(\$ 25,066)$ |
| 93 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$17,000 | \$15,640 | 7,109 | \$3,929 | $(\$ 13,071)$ |
| 103 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| 107 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $X$ | \$33,475 | \$33,475 | 15,216 | \$8,409 | $(\$ 25,066)$ |
| 114 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| 116 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| 124 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$34,000 | \$31,280 | 14,218 | \$7,857 | $(\$ 26,143)$ |
| 131 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$33,000 | \$33,000 | 15,000 | \$8,289 | $(\$ 24,711)$ |
| 146 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$32,303 | \$32,303 | 14,683 | \$8,114 | $(\$ 24,189)$ |
| 147 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 22,727 | \$12,559 | $(\$ 37,441)$ |
| 157 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| 159 | PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES X | \$33,475 | \$33,475 | 15,216 | \$8,409 | $(\$ 25,066)$ |
| 165 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | 5,000 | \$2,763 | $(\$ 12,237)$ |
| 169 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$26,316 | \$25,000 | 8,333 | \$4,605 | $(\$ 21,711)$ |
| 170 | PRACTICE FUSION | \$168,367 | \$165,000 | 75,000 | \$41,447 | (\$126,921) |


| Investment Details |  | Tax Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Shares owned by estate | $1,595,000$ | Federal | $37 \%$ |
| Total Allowed Shares | $1,965,839$ | State Tax | $8.84 \%$ |
| 2016 Oxis Share Valuation | $\$ 1.60$ | State Tax Deduction | $-3.27 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $42.57 \%$ |


| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| :--- |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |
| PRACTICE FUSION- SERIES $x$ |
| PRACTICE FUSION |


| \$167,373 | \$167,373 | 76,079 | \$42,043 | $(\$ 125,330)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$15,000 | \$15,000 | 5,000 | \$2,763 | $(\$ 12,237)$ |
| \$10,652 | \$9,800 | 2,450 | \$1,354 | $(\$ 9,298)$ |
| \$43,696 | \$40,200 | 10,050 | \$5,554 | $(\$ 38,142)$ |
| \$18,000 | \$18,000 | 6,000 | \$3,316 | $(\$ 14,684)$ |
| \$38,000 | \$38,000 | 20,000 | \$11,052 | $(\$ 26,948)$ |
| \$45,000 | \$45,000 | 15,000 | \$8,289 | $(\$ 36,711)$ |
| \$99,000 | \$99,000 | 33,000 | \$18,237 | $(\$ 80,763)$ |
| \$300,000 | \$300,000 | 100,000 | \$55,262 | (\$244,738) |
| \$28,600 | \$28,028 | 12,740 | \$7,040 | $(\$ 21,560)$ |
| \$50,000 | \$50,000 | 22,727 | \$12,559 | $(\$ 37,441)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$83,686 | \$83,686 | 38,039 | \$21,021 | $(\$ 62,665)$ |
| \$10,000 | \$9,800 | 3,267 | \$1,805 | $(\$ 8,195)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$10,000 | \$9,800 | 4,455 | \$2,462 | $(\$ 7,538)$ |
| \$8,369 | \$8,369 | 3,804 | \$2,102 | $(\$ 6,266)$ |
| \$10,000 | \$9,800 | 4,455 | \$2,462 | $(\$ 7,538)$ |
| \$33,475 | \$33,475 | 15,216 | \$8,409 | $(\$ 25,066)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$50,000 | \$49,000 | 22,273 | \$12,309 | $(\$ 37,691)$ |
| \$25,106 | \$25,106 | 11,412 | \$6,307 | $(\$ 18,799)$ |
| \$25,000 | \$24,500 | 8,167 | \$4,513 | $(\$ 20,487)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$26,000 | \$24,960 | 11,345 | \$6,269 | $(\$ 19,731)$ |
| \$25,000 | \$23,000 | 10,455 | \$5,778 | $(\$ 19,222)$ |
| \$25,000 | \$23,000 | 10,455 | \$5,778 | $(\$ 19,222)$ |
| \$20,000 | \$19,600 | 6,533 | \$3,610 | $(\$ 16,390)$ |
| \$5,021 | \$5,021 | 2,282 | \$1,261 | $(\$ 3,760)$ |
| \$159,250 | \$154,473 | 70,215 | \$38,802 | (\$120,448) |
| \$48,700 | \$44,804 | 20,365 | \$11,254 | $(\$ 37,446)$ |
| \$12,000 | \$12,000 | 4,000 | \$2,210 | $(\$ 9,790)$ |
| \$100,000 | \$98,000 | 44,545 | \$24,617 | $(\$ 75,383)$ |
| \$112,782 | \$112,782 | 45,113 | \$24,930 | $(\$ 87,852)$ |
| \$41,843 | \$41,843 | 19,020 | \$10,511 | $(\$ 31,332)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$50,000 | \$46,000 | 20,909 | \$11,555 | $(\$ 38,445)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$150,000 | \$147,000 | 66,818 | \$36,925 | (\$113,075) |
| \$83,686 | \$83,686 | 38,039 | \$21,021 | $(\$ 62,665)$ |
| \$74,977 | \$73,477 | 33,399 | \$18,457 | $(\$ 56,520)$ |
| \$16,737 | \$16,737 | 7,608 | \$4,204 | $(\$ 12,533)$ |
| \$30,000 | \$29,400 | 9,800 | \$5,416 | $(\$ 24,584)$ |
| \$33,475 | \$33,475 | 15,216 | \$8,409 | $(\$ 25,066)$ |
| \$150,527 | \$143,001 | 65,000 | \$35,920 | $(\$ 114,607)$ |
| \$25,106 | \$25,106 | 11,412 | \$6,307 | $(\$ 18,799)$ |
| \$10,500 | \$10,290 | 3,430 | \$1,895 | $(\$ 8,605)$ |
| \$4,903,247 | \$4,831,850 | 1,965,839 | ,086,366 | (\$3,816,881) |

## EXHIBIT 5

EXHIBIT 5: CLASS 6B CANDI CONTROLS INVESTOR CLAIMS (ACTUAL DISTRIBUTION WILL VARY)
[NOT YET VALIDATED BY SEC]

| Investor ID | Invested Investment | Gross Investment | Net Investment | Allowed Shares | Share of Tax Benefit | Deficiency |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 37 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | 16,000 | $\$ 12,872.93$ | $(\$ 27,127.07)$ |
| 43 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 60,000$ | 24,000 | $\$ 19,309.39$ | $(\$ 40,690.61)$ |
| 46 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 1,100,000$ | $\$ 1,012,000$ | $1,212,862$ | $\$ 975,817.71$ | $(\$ 124,182.29)$ |
| 51 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 92,000$ | 110,193 | $\$ 88,656.65$ | $(\$ 11,343.35)$ |
| 51 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 92,000$ | 110,193 | $\$ 88,656.65$ | $(\$ 11,343.35)$ |
| 77 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ | 10,000 | $\$ 8,045.58$ | $(\$ 16,954.42)$ |
| 80 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | 60,241 | $\$ 48,467.37$ | $(\$ 1,532.63)$ |
| 81 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ | 10,000 | $\$ 8,045.58$ | $(\$ 16,954.42)$ |
| 105 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 46,000$ | 55,130 | $\$ 44,355.28$ | $(\$ 5,644.72)$ |
| 146 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | 59,887 | $\$ 48,182.56$ | $(\$ 1,817.44)$ |
| 174 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | 16,000 | $\$ 12,872.93$ | $(\$ 27,127.07)$ |
| 174 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ | 18,000 | $\$ 14,482.04$ | $(\$ 30,517.96)$ |
| 218 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | 20,000 | $\$ 16,091.16$ | $(\$ 33,908.84)$ |
| 223 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ | 10,000 | $\$ 8,045.58$ | $(\$ 16,954.42)$ |
| 231 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ | 4,000 | $\$ 3,218.23$ | $(\$ 6,781.77)$ |
| 261 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 92,000$ | 36,800 | $\$ 29,607.73$ | $(\$ 70,392.27)$ |
| 274 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 20,000$ | 8,000 | $\$ 6,436.46$ | $(\$ 13,563.54)$ |
| 277 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | 20,000 | $\$ 16,091.16$ | $(\$ 33,908.84)$ |
| 311 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | 60,241 | $\$ 48,467.37$ | $(\$ 1,532.63)$ |
| 315 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 29,984$ | $\$ 29,984$ | 11,993 | $\$ 9,649.06$ | $(\$ 20,334.94)$ |
| 323 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 30,000$ | 36,145 | $\$ 29,080.75$ | $(\$ 919.25)$ |
| 327 | CANDI CONTROLS | $\$ 225,000$ | $\$ 225,000$ | 90,000 | $\$ 72,410.21$ | $(\$ 152,589.79)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Investment Details |  | Tax Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shares owned by estate | 2,267,492 | Federal | 37\% |
| Total Allowed Shares | 1,999,685 | State Tax | 8.84\% |
| 2016 Oxis Share Valuation | \$1.89 | State Tax Deduction | -3.27\% |
|  |  |  | 42.57\% |
| Date of Write Down | 5/3/2018 |  |  |
| Amount of Write Down | \$4,285,560 |  |  |
| Write Down Per Share | \$1.89 |  |  |
| Value of Tax Benefit | \$1,824,328.61 |  |  |
| Total Gross Investment | \$2,274,984.00 |  |  |
| Total Tax Benefit for Investors | \$1,608,862.38 |  |  |
| Total Deficiency | (\$666,121.62) |  |  |
| Percent Distribution | 71\% |  |  |
| Excess Shares | 267,807 |  |  |
| Excess Tax Benefit for Plan Fund | \$215,466.23 |  |  |

## Exhibit 6: SRA Plan Fund Projections

| Balance on 5/31/2023 (including Evernote costs (\$2,838.35)) | \$4,484,276.03 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Contribution from Candi Controls | \$215,466.23 |
| Contribution from Lookout | \$0.00 |
| Contribution from ZocDoc | \$0.00 |
| Contribution from Addepar | \$0.00 |
| Tax Benefit from NOLs | \$749,055.25 |
| Subtotal -- Contributions | \$5,448,797.51 |
| Class 3 Remaining Payments | \$1,930,438.28 |
| Class 1 Admin Claims (Projected) | \$473,927.63 |
| Class 1 Holdbacks to Pay | \$276,072.37 |
| Subtotal - Senior Claims | \$2,680,438.28 |
| Remainder for Class 5 Claims | \$2,768,359.23 |
| Progresso Ventures | \$552,936.43 |
| Kenneth Lacey | \$500,000.00 |
| Alexander Pisemski | \$500,000.00 |
| Carsten Klein | \$100,000.00 |
| Projected Investor Deficiency Claims (using Option 1) | \$1,020,291.00 |
| Total Class 5 Claims | \$2,673,227.43 |
| Percentage Distribution - Class 5 | 100\% |
| Estimated Surplus in Plan Fund | \$95,131.80 |
| Anne Bivona Funds | \$539,797.80 |
| Total Potential Surplus | \$634,929.60 |
| Alternate Analysis -- Deficiency Calculated by Disregarding Gains |  |
| Class 5 Non-Investor Claims | \$1,652,936.43 |
| Investor Deficiency Claims | \$3,655,552.00 |
| Total Class 5 Claims | \$5,308,488.43 |
| Plan Fund Remainder | \$2,768,359.23 |
| Anne Bivona Funds | \$0.00 |
| Total for Class 5 Claims | \$2,768,359.23 |
| Percentage Distribution - pro rata | 52\% |
| Deficiency Claims Subordinated: |  |
| Class 5 Non-Investor Claims | \$1,652,936.43 |
| Distribution Percentage | 100\% |
| Remainder for Deficiency Claims | \$1,115,422.80 |
| Distribution Percentage | 31\% |

## Exhibit 7: Evernote Claim Analysis

| Total Evernote Reserve: |  | \$393,290.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Failed Investment+ - Distribute Reserve pro rata |  |  |  | Successful Investment - Plan Fund Contribution Plus 100\% Deficiency Claim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Investor } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Gross Investment | 30\% Gross <br> Investment | Allowed Shares | Distribution | Deficiency Claim | Value Per <br> Share <br> Received | \% Distribution of Gross Inv | DistributionDeficiency <br> (Evernote) |  | Investor's Other Gain/Loss | 100\% Net Deficiency Distribution | Total Distribution | Value Per <br> Allowed Share <br> Received | \% Distribution of Gross Inv |
| 2 | \$200,000.00 | \$60,000.00 | 14,154 | \$63,052.32 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 32\% | \$6,300.81 | (\$193,699.19) | \$142,388.70 | \$51,310.49 | \$57,611.30 | \$4.07 | 29\% |
| 37 | \$76,087.00 | \$22,826.10 | 5,000 | \$22,273.67 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 29\% | \$2,225.80 | (\$73,861.20) | \$690,271.96 | \$0.00 | \$2,225.80 | \$0.45 | 3\% |
| 51 | \$195,000.00 | \$58,500.00 | 15,000 | \$66,821.02 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$6,677.42 | (\$188,322.58) | \$711,338.59 | \$0.00 | \$6,677.42 | \$0.45 | 3\% |
| 90 | \$26,000.00 | \$7,800.00 | 2,000 | \$8,909.47 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$890.32 | $(\$ 25,109.68)$ | \$0.00 | \$25,109.68 | \$26,000.00 | \$13.00 | 100\% |
| 136 | \$32,500.00 | \$9,750.00 | 2,500 | \$11,136.83 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$1,112.90 | (\$31,387.10) | \$64,997.53 | \$0.00 | \$1,112.90 | \$0.45 | 3\% |
| 138 | \$25,404.00 | \$7,621.20 | 1,558 | \$6,940.47 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 27\% | \$693.56 | (\$24,710.44) | \$144,362.70 | \$0.00 | \$693.56 | \$0.45 | 3\% |
| 143 | \$52,000.00 | \$15,600.00 | 4,000 | \$17,818.94 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$1,780.64 | (\$50,219.36) | \$0.00 | \$50,219.36 | \$52,000.00 | \$13.00 | 100\% |
| 177 | \$400,000.00 | \$120,000.00 | 30,769 | \$137,067.74 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$13,697.18 | (\$386,302.82) | \$1,694,980.68 | \$0.00 | \$13,697.18 | \$0.45 | 3\% |
| 258 | \$13,000.00 | \$3,900.00 | 1,000 | \$4,454.73 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$445.16 | (\$12,554.84) | (\$16,978.26) | \$12,554.84 | \$13,000.00 | \$13.00 | 100\% |
| 263 | \$10,000.00 | \$3,000.00 | 769 | \$3,425.69 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$342.32 | $(\$ 9,657.68)$ | \$3,845.68 | \$5,812.00 | \$6,154.32 | \$8.00 | 62\% |
| 312 | \$149,973.00 | \$44,991.90 | 11,536 | \$51,389.82 | \$0.00 | \$4.45 | 34\% | \$5,135.38 | (\$144,837.62) | \$4,593,888.62 | \$0.00 | \$5,135.38 | \$0.45 | 3\% |
| Total | \$1,179,964.00 | \$353,989.20 | 88,286 | \$393,290.70 | \$0.00 |  | 33\% | \$39,301.49 | (\$1,140,662.51) | \$8,029,096.19 | \$145,006.37 | \$184,307.86 |  | 16\% |
|  |  | Plan Fund Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Use of Plan <br> Fund <br> Contribution <br> for Evernote <br> Claimants |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The amount for the MongoDB Reserve includes a total of $\$ 402,000$ contributed from the Sabrin Settlement (see Status Report for First Quarter 2023, Dkt. No. 700, at 4-5).
    ${ }^{2}$ The total refund for the federal return was $\$ 239,893$, of which $\$ 5,000$ will be applied to 2022 taxes; and the total refund for the state return was $\$ 72,807$, of which $\$ 1,500$ will be applied to 2022 taxes. Therefore, the total refund was $\$ 312,700$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The MongoDB cash received includes $\$ 402,000$ in installment payments from the Sabrin

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ This analysis does not in any way impact the amount of distribution already made or to be made to Class 4 investors on account of the stock and cash distributions and reserves are previously accounted for and as accounted for in the Motion. This question relates solely to the amount of Deficiency Claims, if any, of Class 4 creditors since Deficiency Claims are a part of the allowed claims of Class 5.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ Specifically: Aliphcom DBA Jawbone, Badgeville, Inc., Glam Media, Inc., Jumio, Inc., Odesk Corp., and Virtual Instruments Corp.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ I believe that the commission should be a $5 \%$ commission on net gains from the Successful Investments in the receivership, rather than a percentage of back-end fees that SRA would have charged based on the gains from an investment in a now-defunct SRA fund.

